

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/017,221 | LEWIS ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | ALEX NOGUEROLA | 1753 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: non-final

(1) ALEX NOGUEROLA.

(3) _____.

(2) Joseph Baker.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

all pending enablement rejections

Claims discussed:

16,14,11

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner proposed an amendment to limit the scope of the claims to disclosed analytes and similar analytes and disclosed sensor types and similar sensor types. No agreement was reached.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Alex Noguera

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)